114 unfounded argument which is insufficient for making up any definitive
619 listing, for it excludes other criteria. The famous historian from Sevilla (read "Tunis"), Ibn Khaldun, who also never visited Morocco, places them among the descendants of the Sinhajat al-Litham, or "Veiled Sinhaja", who emigrated over all North Africa after having
619 left their original home in southern Algeria. My own humble opinion is that in the Igzinnayen we are confronted with a tribe of purely Berber origin, around which many diversified elements came to establish themselves.

142
157 The Arab, in general, is a man of deliberate movements, thin, long-faced and giving the impression that a certain spiritual elegance emanates from him; the Berber, in general, is much more brusque and abrupt, with a short neck and a round face, and, in speaking, he gesticulates in such a way as to give the impression that he is talking with his eyes rather than with his mouth. Whether Arab, Arabo-
619 Berber or pure Berber, the origins of the Igzinnayen are very obscure.[153]

The founders of the tribe originated from the Ulad Akthir (unidentifiable), who, in Tamjund and Buridh (of the Asht 'Asim clan) gave rise to the Asht Bu Zkri (or Izkrithen), Ibaqriyen and Iharrasen; in Azru (of the Asht Yunis), to the Farzugu (unidentifiable), Asht Ishaq and Asht 'Aisa (unidentifiable); in Aqwir (of the Imzdwar), to the Asht Tirmamin and the Asht Tighda 'Ali.

The Jbarna (of the Asht r-Udha clan) have their origin in Imzdurar; they reached their present territory at a time which is impossible to determine. A large number of their families own land

695 829 [181] the individual, in most cases. It therefore seems natural that the group rather than the individual (contrary to Islamic Law) proclaims its innocence[39].

695 212 (685) Although written proofs are admitted, they are not common, and are always submitted previously to a fqih for his consultation. Also, certain tulba were known to take advantage of their essentially notary function in order to falsify documents (one man even wrote up false Sultan's dahirs, or imperial decrees, and was jailed in Tangier!); and this did not make for any great respect of written proofs in Arabic, a language which in any case the Rifians did not understand. [157] Even today (1935) a Rifian who possesses a document is always looking for people to translate it for him, as he is never satisfied with one man's word and always suspects that the man who drew it up for him either misrepresented him or lied to him. I have known Rifians who went to the French Zone and even to Spain in order to obtain proper interpretations of their personal papers! Only death will separate a Rifian from his r-kwaghadh![40]

695 Conciliation and arbitration are the preferential jural processes in this society, where victory is difficult and defeat is hard; and one is drawn to the idea that what actually takes place is more akin to "horse trading" than to justice, in the European sense of the word.

It seems to me that the collective oath is the Rifian equivalent of the European "word of honor", in its unadulterated sense[41]. It has [619] a social rather than a religious significance, and, in practice, very little ritual; it is carried out in the mosque under the eye of the rival or plaintiff, and of those who were in on the secret, a sufficient action for public demerit in the "Big Ones" (imqqranen)[42]

695 [784] It was, and is, universally believed that anyone who perjured himself at oath would suffer dire consequence; either he, or some member of his family, or his livestock, would be struck dead or blind or dumb by God shortly thereafter, or, if not, that some other almost equally awesome calamity would befall him; and informants cited numerous examples. And: if either the accused or any of his cojurors failed to turn up at the mosque to take oath at the time stipulated (without a valid excuse for not doing so), it was the accused who had to pay the fine for whatever damages he had caused the plaintiff, as his non-[184] appearance was sufficient proof of his guilt. The same was true if [191] one or more of his prospective cojurors refused to swear with him; unlike in the Central Atlas, he could not obtain substitute cojurors, through sacrifice--the Rifian oath system was simpler and more inflexible. And if, of course, the plaintiff failed to show up, there was no oath at all. The accused or any of his cojurors could, however, be legitimately excused (as could the plaintiff) in the event that they had been unavoidably detained or prevented from appearing through illness, etc., in which case the oath was postponed until the following Friday or until such time as the individual in question was well enough to swear.

000 [114] 39--Here Blanco contradicts a previous assertion he made by saying that in this instance Islam and customary law are at one, and that the former puts the responsibility on the individual (which is true as far as oaths are concerned, but highly questionable in many other respects), while the latter puts it on the group!

[157] [181] 40--Blanco develops, and very amusingly, his ideas regarding the Rifian and his perennial mistrust (shimta walu, WALU CONFIANZA!) of his fellow man and of the world around him in a series of humorous papers (Analisis Espectral del Rifeño, Hospitalidad Rifeña, La Garrota Berberisca and Del Gesto en el Islam), all unpublished, which most unfortunately cannot be fitted into the framework of the present compilation.

[191] [577] [114] 41--This is very true; but only in part, for where the author's notion bogs down is in the simple fact that the European "word of honor" is essentially conceptualized as individual rather than collective. One may, to be sure, speak of a "national word of honor", among Europeans, but again this is personified individually through heads of state, etc. Thus, phenomenologically, the European "word of honor" and the Berber collective oath are two rather different kettle of fish, despite a certain parallelism in their objectives.

[114] 42--Blanco's meaning is not quite clear; but, if we understand him aright, why should the imqqranen have either depreciated the value of collective oaths or themselves be depreciated in turn for having to swear? If a defendant can legitimately get powerful and influential cojurors for himself, so much the better for him; but then again, in the Rif, an amghar, though respected by his own constituents, was considered no less bullet-proof than anyone else by his enemies....When Blanco refers to "those who were in on the secret", he presumably means "cojurors".